DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Revision of the Commission's Rules)	CC Docket No. 94-102
to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced)	
911 Emergency Calling Systems)	

To: The Commission

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE RURAL CELLULAR ASSOCIATION

The Rural Cellular Association ("RCA") submits these Reply Comments in the captioned proceeding¹ in response to the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau's Public Notice seeking comment on a Request for an Emergency Declaratory Ruling ("California Request") filed by the State of California 9-1-1 Program Manager.² RCA is an association composed of telecommunications companies providing cellular service to rural America. RCA members operate more than eighty licensed cellular service areas across the country, making service available to more than 6.5 million people. Throughout this proceeding, RCA and other carriers have documented the integral role that cost recovery plays in the provision of E911 services.³ RCA submits that the issues raised by the Request regarding

Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems, CC Docket No. 94-102, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 11 FCC Rcd 18676 (1996) ("E911 Report and Order"), Memorandum Opinion and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 22665 (1997) ("E911 Memorandum Opinion and Order").

²/ Public Notice, CC Docket No. 94-102, DA 98-1504, released July 30, 1998.

See, e.g., Comments of the Rural Cellular Association at 12, filed January 9, 1995; Reply Comments at 5, filed March 17, 1995; (additional) Comments at 6, filed March 4, 1996; Comments of the Rural Cellular Association at 6, filed December 15, 1995 (in response to Petition for Rulemaking of the Ad Hoc Alliance for Public Access to 911).

limitation of liability and cost recovery (which echo pending requests)⁴ warrant immediate action by the Bureau to ensure the deployment of wireless enhanced 911 services ("E911"), as mandated.⁵ In support thereof, RCA shows the following:

I. The Commission Should Adopt Federal Liability Protection For Wireless Carriers

Deploying E911 Services

The Commission's Rules require that wireless carriers provide E911 service without customer validation.⁶ As a result of this mandate to provide service to subscribers and non-subscribers alike, carriers must be afforded adequate liability protection. The California Request states that "the issue of immunity from liability is now the only substantive obstacle preventing trials and commercial deployment of wireless E9-1-1 service." RCA concurs with commenters that limitation of carrier liability is necessary for the provision of E911 service, and supports expedited adoption of Federal liability protection.⁸

⁴/ <u>See e.g.</u>, Petition for Reconsideration and Clarification of the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association ("CTIA"), filed February 17, 1998.

Under the Commission's E911 Phase I requirement, as of April 1, 1998, covered carriers must provide the telephone number of the 911 caller and the location of the cell site or base station receiving a 911 call from any mobile handset accessing their systems to the designated Public Safety Answering Point ("PSAP") through the use of Automatic Number Identification ("ANI") and Pseudo-ANI. 47 C.F.R. §20.18(d) (1997).

^{6/} E911 Order at ¶¶13-15. 47 C.F.R. §20.18(d) (1997).

^{7/} California Request at 1.

See, e.g., Comments of CTIA at 3; Comments of Personal Communications Industry
Association ("PCIA") at 3; Comments of Ameritech at 3-4; Comments of BellSouth Corporation
("BellSouth") at 4-5; Comments of GTE at 3; Further Comments of Bell Atlantic Mobile, Inc. ("Bell Atlantic Mobile") at 2; Comments of SBC Wireless, Inc. ("SBC") at 3; Comments of AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.
("AT&T Wireless") at 2; Comments of Airtouch Communications, Inc. ("Airtouch") at 3; Comments of Omnipoint at 2; Comments of Nextel Communications, Inc. ("Nextel") at 4; Comments of United States
Cellular Corporation at 2; Comments of the Rural Telecommunications Group ("RTG") at 2; Comments of the Cellular Carriers Association of California at 2; Comments of Los Angeles Cellular Telephone Company

The California Request raises issues of immediate nationwide importance. Thus, the Commission's previous observation that "it is premature and speculative for the Commission to establish a national standard of liability protection in order to achieve rapid deployment of wireless E911 systems" is no longer sustainable. In the absence of Federal or state immunity, carriers providing E911 services may be exposed to unlimited risk. 10

The record is clear that limitation of liability is critical to carriers' business operations and commercial viability. Risk management is especially important for small and rural carriers. Unlike many large corporations, these carriers do not have the economies of scale necessary to spread the risk associated with provisioning E911.

Accordingly, the Commission should provide a national standard of liability protection for carriers complying with the E911 Federal mandate.

II. Cost Recovery Mechanisms Should Include Insurance Premiums

The Commission's E911 requirement (Phase I) is applicable only if the administrator of the designated public safety answering point ("PSAP") has requested the services, the PSAP is capable of receiving and utilizing the data elements associated with

at 3; Comments of Trueposition at 2; and Comments of XYPOINT Corporation at 2.

⁹/ E911 Memorandum Opinion and Order at 22732.

As demonstrated on the record in this proceeding, a significant number of states have not provided liability protection to wireless carriers for E911 service. See e.g., Comments of CTIA at 4; Comments of SBC at 2.

The Ad Hoc Alliance for Public Access to 911, which opposes insurance cost recovery, misapprehends the function of marketplace forces in risk assessment. Where a service is mandatory, there is no opportunity for carriers to weigh the relative costs/benefits of providing service and assigning risk. See Comments of Ad Hoc Alliance for Public Access to 911 at 4. Even advocates of prompt rule implementation recognize the legitimacy of wireless carriers' efforts to ensure that they have the same liability protection as wireline carriers. See Comments of the Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials-International, Inc. at 1-2; Comments of the National Emergency Number Association at 2.

the service, and a mechanism for recovering the costs of the service is in place.¹² The Commission directed that the costs associated with E911 service not be charged directly to end users and provided that E911 (Phase I and Phase II) requirements shall apply only if "a mechanism for the recovery of costs relating to the provision of such services is in place."¹³ RCA agrees with other commenters in this proceeding that, absent the adoption of a Federal limitation on liability, and where a state has declined to limit a carrier's liability through state law, the cost of insurance coverage required to provide E911 service must be included in the state's cost-recovery mechanism.¹⁴ If not, wireless carriers will be required to bear the cost of exorbitant private insurance premiums to offset the risk of providing E911 services to non-subscribers. Without adequate cost recovery, carriers will be relieved of the obligation to deploy E911 services.¹⁵ This result is clearly contrary to the Commission's intent in mandating the provision of these services for public safety.

III. Conclusion

As demonstrated herein and by other commenters on the record in this proceeding, the public interest would be served by swift action by the Commission in adopting a Federal limitation on liability for carriers deploying E911 services. In the absence of Federal or state liability protection, state cost-recovery mechanisms should include

¹²/ 47 C.F.R. §20.18(f) (1997).

^{13/} **E911** Report and Order at 18684.

See, e.g., CTIA at 5; PCIA at 3; Ameritech at 4; BellSouth at 6; GTE at 5; Bell Atlantic Mobile at 3; AT&T Wireless at 3; Airtouch at 4; Omnipoint at 6; Nextel at 5; and RTG at 4.

See, e.g., Cellular Carriers Association of California at 4.

insurance premiums paid by such carriers. Adoption of these measures will ensure the deployment of E911 nationwide.

Respectfully submitted,

THE RURAL CELLULAR ASSOCIATION

Ву

Sylvia Lesse Margaret Nyland

Its Attorneys

Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLP 2120 L Street, NW Suite 520 Washington, DC 20037 202/296-8890

August 24, 1998

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Shelley Bryce, of Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLP, 2120 L Street, NW, Suite 520, Washington, DC, 20037, hereby certify that on this 24th day of August, 1998, a copy of the foregoing "Reply Comments of the Rural Cellular Association" was delivered via first class, U.S. mail, postage prepaid to the parties listed below:

Shelley Bryce

Dan Phythyon, Chief *
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, NW, Room 5002
Washington, DC 20554

John Cimko, Chief *
Policy and Rules Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, NW, Room 5202A
Washington, DC 20554

Reuven M. Carlyle Vice President - External Affairs XYPOINT Corporation 2825 Eastlake Avenue East, Suite 250 Seattle, WA 98102

Peter M. Connolly
Koteen & Naftalin
1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for United States Cellular
Corporation

Douglas I. Brandon Vice President - External Affairs AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. 1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036 Howard J. Symons
Sara F. Seidman
Michelle M. Mundt
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky
and Popeo
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20004
Of Counsel for AT&T Wireless, Inc.

Jay L. Birnbaum
Jennifer P. Brovey
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher
& Flom LLP
1440 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20005
Attorneys for Los Angeles Cellular
Telephone Company

Philip L. Verveer
David M. Don
Willkie Farr & Gallagher
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for Trueposition, Inc.

Pamela J. Riley
David A. Gross
AirTouch Communications, Inc.
1818 N Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Joyce H. Jones Kim Mahoney AirTouch Communications, Inc. One California Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

Richard J. Quist, Jr.
Betsy Stover Granger
4420 Rosewood Drive,
4th Floor, Building 2
Pleasanton, CA 94588
Attorney for SBC Wireless, Inc.

Carol L. Tacker 17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A Dallas, Texas 75252 Attorney for SBC Wireless, Inc.

Bruce Beard 13075 Manchester Road, Suite 100 St. Louis, MO 63131 Attorney for SBC Wireless, Inc.

Stephen E. Carlson
Executive Director
The Cellular Carriers Association of
California
1225 Eighth Street, Suite 550
Sacramento, CA 95814

Michael B. Day
Jeanne M. Bennett
Goodin, MacBride, Squeri, Schlotz
& Ritchie, LLP
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94111
Attorneys for The Cellular Carriers
Association of California

Caressa D. Bennet Dorothy E. Cukier Bennet & Bennet, PLLC 1019 19th Street, NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036 John T. Scott, III Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004 Attorneys for Bell Atlantic Mobile, Inc.

Robert S. Foosaner
Vice President and Chief Regulatory Officer
Lawrence R. Krevor
Director - Government Affairs
Laura L. Holloway
General Attorney
Nextel Communications, Inc.
1450 G Street, NW, Suite 425
Washington, DC 20005

John F. Raposa
Attorney
GTE Service Corporation
600 Hidden Ridge, HQE03J27
P.O. Box 152092
Irving, TX 75015-2092

Gail L. Polivy Attorney GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Mark J. O'Connor
Piper & Marbury LLP
1200 19th Street, NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036
Attorney for Omnipoint
Communications, Inc.

William B. Barfield
Jim O. Llewellyn
1155 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 1800
Atlanta, GA 30309-2641
Attorney for BellSouth Corporation

David G. Frolio 1133 21st Street, NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20036 Attorney for BellSouth Corporation

John Prendergast
Susan J. Bahr
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson & Dickens
2120 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037
Attorneys for Ameritech Mobile
Communications, Inc.

Mary McDermott
Senior Vice President/Chief of Staff,
Government Relations
Mary E. Madigan
Vice President, External Affairs
Todd B. Lantor
Manager, Government Relations
Personal Communications Industry
Association
500 Montgomery Street, Suite 700
Alexandria, VA 22314-1561

Michael Altschul
Vice President and General Counsel
Randall S. Coleman
Vice President, Regulatory Policy & Law
Lolita D. Smith
Staff Counsel
Cellular Telecommunications
Industry Association
1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036

James R. Hobson Donelan, Cleary, Wood & Maser PC 1100 New York Avenue, NW, #750 Washington, DC 20005-3935 Attorney for NENA Robert M. Gurss
Wilkes, Artis, Hedrick & Lane, Chartered
1666 K Street, NW, #1100
Washington, DC 20006
Attorney for Association of Public-Safety
Communications Officials-International, Inc.

Jim Conran Chairman AD HOC Alliance for Public Access to 911 P.O. Box 2346 Orinda, CA 94563

S.C. Wilkins, Chief Information Management Division California Highway Patrol P.O. Box 942898 Sacramento, CA 94298-0001

* Via Hand Delivery